

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/486,890	05/26/2000	RYOUMEI OMOTE	00177/530850	2420	
7590 05/18/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK			PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
2033 K STREET NW					
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1771		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
	09/486,890	OMOTE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Andrew T Piziali	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			roce
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANG	CE. y to a
final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	n places the applica	tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>46,48 and 50</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16,19,21,23,26,28,30,32,33,36,38</u> ,	40,42,44,45,52 and 54.	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17,18,20,22		3,47,49,51,53 and 55.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant has failed to show that the article taught by the prior art does not possess the claimed arithmetic mean roughness and root-mean-square roughness.

ANDREWT. PIZIALI PATENT EXAMINER

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700